

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,738	09/05/2003	Joshua Lynn Scott	702_092	9086
20874	7590 09/08/2005		EXAM	INER
WALL MARJAMA & BILINSKI 101 SOUTH SALINA STREET			OMGBA, ESSAMA	
SUITE 400	ALINA STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SYRACUSE, NY 13202			3726	-

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,738	SCOTT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Essama Omgba	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-16 and 23-31 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15,16,23 and 24</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,12-14,25,26 and 29-31</u> is/are i	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9,12-14,25,26 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,11,27 and 28</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>7,11,27 and 28</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3726

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barmore (US Patent 5,520,075).

With regards to claims 1 and 2, Barmore discloses a tool 14 for connecting a work piece 18 to another member, the tool comprising a tool body (end 28) sized to fit over at least a portion of work piece 18, a tip holding element disposed within the tip body (the inner polygonal surface 38) releasably engaging the work piece, see column 1, lines 65-67 and column 2, lines 1-22. Applicant should note that the recitation of the intended use of the toll has not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

For claims 12 and 13, see column 2, lines 55-64.

Art Unit: 3726

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lieser et al. (US Patent 6,640,911).

Lieser et al. discloses a tool comprising a tool body sized to fit over at least a portion of a work piece (figure 3), a work piece holding element 29 disposed within the tool body, the holding element being a compressible O-ring element, see column 3, lines 36-56. Applicant should note that it is inherent that the O-ring would comprise a polymer. Applicant should also note that the recitation of the intended use of the toll has not been given any patentable weight. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/656,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 3726

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

6. Claims 25, 26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Applicant, at pages 1, 3 and 4 of the specification to be known as AAPA, discloses an endoscope apparatus comprising a hand piece including means to manipulate the hand piece, an insertion tube connected to the hand piece, the insertion tool having a distal end, an endoscope tip removably connected to the distal end of the insertion tube and including an optical imaging component, the endoscope tip attached to the insertion tube via a threaded connection, the endoscope tip including illumination means, a tip tool such as pliers or a wrench having an opening to receive the endoscope tip and means to engage the endoscope tip in order to remove the endoscope tip from the distal end of the insertion tube. Applicant should note that the opening between the jaws of a pair of pliers is at least partially cylindrical.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5, 9 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieser et al.

Art Unit: 3726

With regards to claim 5, Lieser et al. discloses a tool as shown above except for the polymer of the O-ring being buna-n. However it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to select appropriate polymers for the O-ring. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have selected an O-ring comprising a buna-n polymer for the O-ring of Lieser et al., as is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art.

For claim 9, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to select appropriate materials suitable for the tool use.

For claim 14, the tool of Lieser et al. is capable of accommodating identifying indicia on the tool body.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 15, 16, 23 and 24 are allowed.
- Claims 7, 11, 27 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected 10. base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's argument that the tools of the Barmore and the Lieser patents would not be used in connection with an optical tool, the examiner submits that

Art Unit: 3726

The Control Harrison: Toroco, A

in as much as there is no structural difference between the claimed tool and the ones disclosed in the Barmore and the Lieser patents, the rejection as outlined above are proper. As pointed out in the above rejections, Applicant is relying on the intended use of the tool to distinguish from the prior art tools. Applicant should claim structures that would distinguish the claimed tool from the prior art tools.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

September 2, 2005